INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| DISTRICT OFFICE: | CESAJ-RD-SW-T_(J | AX) | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| FILE NUMBER: | SAJ-2004-678 (JD-M | LS)_ | | | |
| REGULATORY PROJE | CT MANAGER: | _Mary | L. Saunders_ | Date: _ | 6/24/2004_ |
| PROJECT REVIEW/DE | TERMINATION COMI | PLETED: | In the office Y At the project s | , | Date: 6/24/2004 |
| PROJECT LOCATION | INFORMATION: | | | | |
| State: Florid | a | | | | |
| County: Polk | | | | | |
| Center coordinates of site | e by latitude & longitudi | nal coordinate | s: Lat: 27° 54 '5 | 8" N; Lor | ng: 81°51 ' 57" W |
| | ze of site/property (inclu | | | 396 Ac | |
| | vay or watershed: Bear | ~ · | , | | |

SITE CONDITIONS:

| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | | X | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | | | X | | | | | | |
| Mining | | | | | | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | If Known | | If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment | | |
|---|----------|----|--|-----------------|------------------|
| | Yes | No | Predicted | Not Expected to | Not Able To Make |
| | | | to Occur | Occur | Determination |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by | | | X | | |
| Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? | | | X | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | X | | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | | X | | |
| ¹ Check appropriate hoves that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Rird Rule to apply to onsite non-jurisdictional isolated | | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

Approved X.

| TYPE OF DETERMINATION: | Preliminary | Or |
|------------------------|-------------|----|
| | | |

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):